

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,499	11/25/2003	Keith Rosiello	18405-129	8935	
48329 FOLEV & LA	7590 01/18/2007 RDNER I I P		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			SORKIN, DAVID L		
26TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02199-7610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		
			T DEV WEDS	V. MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/723,499	ROSIELLO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David L. Sorkin	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 D</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-48 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-48 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplication may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 21.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,499 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1723** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 24 October 2006 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 11, 13-18, 24-29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drucker (US 3,675,846). Regarding claims 1,13 and 24, Drucker ('846) discloses a bag (81) (as well as a centrifuge comprising the bag) having a central opening which includes an integrally formed first mating portion (the portion of the bag adjacent 55a and/or 55b) mating with a corresponding second mating portion (55a,55b) of a hub (55) (see Fig. 3). Regarding claims 2, 14 and 25, the first mating portion comprises an integrally molded radial barrier (see Fig. 3, near 55b). Regarding claim 3,15, and 26, the first mating portion comprises one or more recesses (see Fig. 3). Regarding claim 4, 16, and 27 the first mating portion comprises one or more raised

Art Unit: 1723

areas (see Fig. 3). Regarding claims 5, 17 and 28, the radial barrier comprises a circumferential ring of raised material (see Fig. 3). Regarding claim 6, 18 and 29, the radial barrier comprises a circumferential recess (see Fig. 3). Regarding claim 11, the manner in which the bag is intended to be used is not germane to the patentability of the bag. Regarding claim 34, Drucker ('846) discloses a method of sealing a centrifuge bag to a hub comprising providing a bag (81), for us in centrifugal processing, wherein the bag comprises a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening, wherein the central opening includes an integrally formed first mating portion (the portion of the bag adjacent 55a and/or 55b); and providing a hub (55) having a second mating portion (55a, 55b) corresponding to the first mating portion; placing the hub within the opening; and mating the first mating portion with the second mating portion (see Fig. 3; col. 2, lines 49-60).

Page 3

4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mercier (US 4,610,369). Regarding claims 1 and 13, Mercier ('369) discloses a bag (33) comprising a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening, wherein the central opening includes an integrally formed first mating portion (39) mating with a corresponding second mating portion of a hub (27). Regarding claims 2 and 14, the first mating portion comprises an integrally molded radial barrier (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 3, 9, 15 and 21, the first mating portion comprises one or more recesses formed adjacent the opening (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 4, 10, 16 and 22, the first mating portion comprises one or more raised areas formed adjacent the opening (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 5 and 17, the radial barrier

comprises a circumferential ring of raised material (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 6 and 18, the radial barrier comprises a circumferential recess (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 7 and 19, the bag includes a first side and second side, each side having a respective opening (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claims 8 and 20, each side includes a first mating portion (see Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6). Regarding claim 11, the manner in which the bag is intended to be used is not germane to the patentability of the bag. Regarding claims 12 and 23, the bag further comprises at least one weld ring having a central opening for receiving a first side of the hub and a surface positioned adjacent the first side of the bag proximate the opening of the first side (see Fig. 3).

- 5. Claims 1-29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jorgensen et al. (US 2002/0107131). Regarding claims 1,13 and 24, Jorgensen ('131) discloses a bag (10, 50, 94, or 96) (as well as a centrifuge comprising the bag) comprising a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening (such as 11 or 51), wherein the central opening includes an integrally formed first mating portion mating with a corresponding second mating portion of a hub (20,60,104). See [0043]. Regarding claims 2, 14, and 25, the first mating portion comprises an integrally molded radial barrier (see especially Fig. 1). Regarding claims 3, 9, 15, 21, and 26, the first mating portion comprises one or more recesses formed adjacent the opening (see especially Fig. 1). Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, 22 and 27 the first mating portion comprises one or more raised areas formed adjacent the opening (see especially Fig.
- 1). Regarding claims 5, 17 and 28, the radial barrier comprises a circumferential ring of

Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

raised material (see especially Fig. 1). Regarding claims 6, 18 and 29, the radial barrier comprises a circumferential recess (see especially Fig. 1). Regarding claims 7 and 19, the bag includes a first side and second side, each side having a respective opening (see Fig. 1, 3, 7 and 11). Regarding claims 8 and 20, each side includes a first mating portion (see Fig. 1, 3, 7 and 11). Regarding claim 11, the manner in which the bag is intended to be used is not germane to the patentability of the bag. Regarding claims 12 and 23, the bag further comprises at least one weld ring (30, 40, 70, 80, 100 or 102) having a central opening for receiving a first side of the hub and a surface positioned adjacent the first side of the bag proximate the opening of the first side. Regarding claim 34, Jorgensen ('131) discloses a method of sealing a centrifuge bag to a hub comprising providing a bag (10, 50, 94 or 96) for use in centrifugal processing, wherein the bag comprises a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening (such as 11 or 51), wherein the central opening has an integrally formed first mating portion (see Fig. 1); and providing a hub (20, 60 or 104) having a second portion corresponding to the first mating portion; placing the hub with in the opening; and mating the first mating portion with the second mating portion (see [0043], [0050], Figs. 1-8 and 11).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1723

7. Claims 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen et al. (US 2002/0107131). The method of Jorgensen was discussed above with regard to claim 34. While the verb "welding" is not expressly used by the reference, "weld rings" are extensively discussed (see [0047] and [0050]). The discussion of "weld rings" would have suggested welding, either with heat or with solvent, to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 6

8. Claims 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen et al. (US 2002/0107131) in view of Schlutz (US 3,982,691). Jorgensen ('131) discloses a method of sealing a centrifuge bag to a hub comprising providing a bag (10, 50, 94 or 96) for use in centrifugal processing, wherein the bag comprises a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening (such as 11 or 51), wherein the central opening has a first mating portion (see Fig. 1); and providing a hub (20, 60 or 104) having a second portion corresponding to the first mating portion; placing the hub with in the opening; and mating the first mating portion with the second mating portion (see [0043], [0050], Figs. 1-8 and 11). Use of adhesive is not explicitly disclosed. Schlutz ('691) teaches joining components of a centrifuge bag assembly using adhesive (see col. 12, lines 1-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have joined the portions of Jorgensen ('131) using adhesive, because, since Jorgensen ('131) explains that joining is required but does not detail exactly how, one would look to prior art methods of joining such as those of Schlutz ('691), col. 12, lines 1-9.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,499 Page 7

Art Unit: 1723

# Response to Arguments

9. The examiner agrees with applicant's statement regarding Drucker (US 3,675,846), where applicant correctly states that items 55a and 55b are not part of the bag, but are part of central bracket 55. However, a first mating portion of the bag, which is an integral part of the bag, is located adjacent and mates with 55 at 55a and 55b.

- 10. Regarding Mercier (US 4,610,369), as seen in Figs. 1-3, a bag (33) comprising a substantially circular enclosure having a central opening is disclosed.
- 11. Regarding Jorgensen et al. (US 2002/0107131), and perhaps the other references, applicant argues as if the first mating portion is required to be integrally formed with something else, such as a hub. However, no such limitation is found in the claims. The claims only recite that the first portion of the bag is integrally formed, not that it is integral formed with something else. In fact, the hub is not even required in claim 1. The invention, as claimed in claim 1, is a bag, not a bag and hub integrally formed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David L. Sorkin

Page 8

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1723

**DLS**